

	egister							Board Assurrance Framework							
Ref	Strategic Outcome	Strategic Risk	Potential Cause and Impact	Grade (including c		arget Key Controls core	Mitigating actions	Three Lines of Defence			Gaps in control assurance	Completion Date for Actions	Responsible Executive	Board Committee	Escalation
				L S	Rating			First	Second	Third					
<b>S01</b> S01:1.1	Positive patient	Failure to provide good quality and safe service	quality and safe patient care         Cause       ✓ Uncontrolled urgent care demand, exceeding capacity         ✓ Efficiency programme impact upon safety or reduce patient safety         ✓ Inadequate staffing levels         Impact       ✓ Poor patient experience and standards of care         ✓ Loss of reputation       ✓ Financial penalties         ✓ Regulatory intervention/action       • Increase in complaints         • Failure to achieve Friends & Family Test percentage recommends targets	4 4	Very High Risk	12 Quality Strategy	<ul> <li>SQD/safety thermometer data</li> <li>RCA of SUIs</li> <li>Ward triangulation metrics</li> <li>Daily review of nurse staffing</li> <li>Falls reduction plan</li> <li>Sepsis reduction plan</li> <li>Specialty governance reviews</li> <li>Hygiene improvement plan</li> <li>7 day service plan</li> <li>Patient safety walk rounds</li> <li>Whistleblowing policy</li> <li>Nursing workforce plan</li> <li>Urgent care delivery plan including beds</li> <li>Clinical Audit Plan</li> <li>Ward Accreditation</li> <li>FFT feedback</li> <li>Complaints &amp; PALS themes</li> <li>Care Opinion feedback</li> <li>National survey</li> </ul>	Quality metrics in monthly business unit reviews     Quality Strategy	Quality report to Board     Audit of Quality Account     Reports from HR and OD     Committee     Annual nursing review     Patient experience, safety and mortality committee reports escalating to QGC     Patient Safety Meetings	Reports from QGC to Board Reported elsewhere Quality monitoring with CCG NHSI external review (IDM) Contract quality review with CCG	Gaps in control Implementation of hygiene improvement plan, housekeeping resource QIAs not yet completed  Gaps in assurance Insufficient backlog maintenance investment Absence of investment in 7 day service plan Unclear role of CEC for accountability	Completion of Quality milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Director of Nursing	Quality Safety Committee	Updated
	Strategic Objective: Openness and transparency	Failure to provide		3 4	12 High Risk	9 Clinical Governan	ce • Compliance targets . Clinical Strategy/LHAC/STP • Nurse recruitment and retention plans • Service review programme • Patient experience strategy • Patient experience committee • Staff engagement plan • Leadership programme • Job planning • Appraisals • Service improvement programme	Patient Safety and Clinical Effectiveness Assurance Report Quality Report. Medicines Safety Report.	STP/LHAC/MTP update     Reports from HR and OD Committee     Reports from FSID     HR/OD report	Reported elsewhere • LHAC Programme Board • Patient experience committee reports to QGC	Gaps in control  LHAC implementation delayed Service review programme just initiated Key care pathways not yet identified for review (STP) Developing performance framework  Gaps in assurance STP governance structure Clinical Strategy implementation governance arranged	Completion of Hospital delivery and market share milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Medical Director and Director of Nursing	Quality Safety Committee	No change
S03			around patients' needs												
	Efficient and effective services	Service delivery failure	Cause  √Failure to recognise and implement change  √Failure of clinical services to plan for the future and failure to modernise major care pathways  √Failure to recognise and manage the resistance to change  √ Failure to recruit to high levels of skilled medical staff  √Failure to change and implement new and emerging medical technology  √Failure to communicate change  Impact  √Unsustainable services  √Poor patient experience  √Poor delivery of performance standards  √Failure to take account of what patients want  √Failure to plan for the changing demand of services for increasing morbitity and ageing services		Very High Risk	Maintaining service delivery	<ul> <li>Quality Governance Compliance</li> <li>Clinical Governance arrangements</li> <li>Perfiodically review fragile services</li> <li>Develop service review programme (GIRTH) with supporting action plans</li> <li>Strengthening clinical arrangements</li> <li>Patient Experience Committee review</li> <li>Developing and implementing Clinical Strategy</li> <li>Developing the Engagement Strategy for the 2021</li> <li>Analysis of complaints and incidents</li> <li>Performance clinics/reviews</li> <li>Report to Regulators</li> <li>Working with the STPs to align and integrate services</li> <li>Workforce recruitment and training</li> <li>Developing staff succession plans</li> </ul>	Performance Review     Service Reviews	Trust Board Committees - FSIE QGC, WF&OD  CMB / CEC / ET  Medical Utilisation Group  CSIG  Contracting Assurance  CCG Reporting Assurance	• SET • LCB • NHS I / NHS E	• Not having an holistic review of services • Integrated information to provide a joined up picture at service line level  Gaps in assurance • Local governance • Not having an agreed Clinical Strategy		Medical Director	Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee	
S03:3.2	effective services	Failure to provide and maintain as statutorily required, premises where care and treatment are delivered from that are clean, suitable for the intended purpose, maintained and where required, appropriately located, in accordance with the NHS Constitution, CQC regulations and	services  Failure to meet built environment statutory standards and best practice guidance  Failure to deliver a rolling programme of improvements  Failure to align current estates model to future clinical redesign  Failure to invest in the built environment infrastructure to a sufficient level in both capital replacement and revenue maintenance over a prolonged period to ensure safety and reliability is assured	4 4	Very High Risk	1. Backlog/ Maintenance Capital and Revenue Investment  2. Estates Strateg  3. Safety Governance	<ul> <li>Delivery of 17/18 capital backlog investment programme.</li> <li>Development of 5 and 10 year capital backlog investment programmes.</li> <li>Delivery of 17/18 revenue maintenance resources.</li> <li>Development of medium term on-going revenue resource plans.</li> <li>Finalisation of Technical Estates Strategy from draft status.</li> <li>Estates Strategy alignment with Clinical Strategy, includir input to STP requirements.</li> <li>Sale of land to release resources.</li> <li>Re-quantification of backlog maintenance scale to suppoinvestment planning.</li> </ul>	t through estates program governance and Estates Committee reporting to FSID.	Estates Programme Board.  3. Progress Reporting to Estate	Reporting requirements through NHS PAM – for Trust Board Sovernance, National Estates performance data submissions (ERIC) and Lord Carter estates productivity and efficiency.	quickly resolve significant risks and high levels of backlog • Estates Strategy not complete • Clinical strategy finalisation informing estates plar	plan 17/18 financial year 2. Estates Strategy finalisation 2017/18, 17/18, backlog re quantification 17/18 Q2. 3. Revenue Compliance Plan 17/18 and on-going 4. EFM Quality 17/18	Director of Estates and Facilities	Finance, Service Improvement and Delivery Committee	

	other statutory lega duties.	Impact  ✓ Unsustainable services in Lincolnshire  ✓ Loss of income  ✓ Loss of reputation  Potential to harm patients, Staff and Visitors, including prolonged outage and loss of clinical facility impacting on patient safety.  Failure to comply with legal requirements leading to prosecution.	Assurance Delive of Revenue Compliance Plan  4. Quality Governance Assurance	Electrical Infrastructure.     Mechanical Infrastructure.     Water Safety.     Asbestos Management.     Fire Safety.      EFM Quality Patient Environment - food/ cleaning/ physical environment     Energy and Sustainability	al		Programme management resources     Compliance evidence capture limited by revenue availability				
<b>S04</b> S04:4.1	Strategic Objective: Skilled, competent Sustainable service delivery  Failure to sustain adequate workforce		Very High Risk	Appraisal system Core learning Revised approached to medical and nurse recruitment - key priority for Trust in 2017/18 Engagement programme Leadership charter Leadership development programme Engagement plan for medical staff Job plans Collective action in the East Midlands and continued efforts to turn locums into permanent members of staff to mitigate IR35	five year focus on right numbers	Workforce and OD Committee     Internal Audit	Gaps in assurance	Completion of Workforce Planning milestones for the 2021 Programme to be monitored through the 2021 Programme Board.	Org Dev	rkforce and anisational velopment nmittee	lo change
S05 S05:5.1	Strategic Objective: Performance Improve Continuous improvement Failure to sustain an engaged workforce	Cause  ✓ Low levels of engagement, health and well being and satisfaction  ✓ Inadequate training, appraisals and development  ✓ Inadequate recognition of staff  ✓ Non adherence to Trust values and behaviours  ✓ Inconsistent leadership  ✓ challenges caused by changes to tax arrangements for personal companies (IR35)  Impact  ✓ Poor patient experience and outcomes  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Poor recruitment and retention prospects  ✓ Poor CQC results		Engagement activities around 2021 - vision & values     Listening & Responding to Staff Task & Finish Group     Leadership development     Recognition strategies     Effective appraisals     Broader communications work	of 2021) with five year focus on right numbers of people with right skills, motivated and managed to perform at their best. People	Report to Board  • Workforce Report to Workforce  • Internal Audit	Gaps in control  Currently shaping and setting up the 2021 Programme to deliver the MTP priorities.  Gaps in assurance  •		&OD Org	rkforce and anisational velopment nmittee	lo change
S05:5.2	Continuous improvement Failure to maintain operational performance	Cause  √ Failure to deliver contractual/national performance targets  √ Failure to collect and report accurate data  √ Insufficient workforce to meet demand  √ Demand exceeds available capacity  Impact  ✓ Poor quality and patient experience  ✓ Loss of reputation  ✓ Failure to meet contractual obligations  ✓ Loss of STF and/or fines/penalties  ✓ Intervention	Very High Risk	* 2021 Improvement Worstreams for Theatres and Outpaitents  Performance Management Framework     * Constitutional Standards     * Data Quality Strategy RTT     * Demand and Capacity Review     * Workforce Planning     * Agency workforce ready review     * Contract Delivery Plan     * RTT Recovery and Delivery Group     * Speciality Recovery Action Plans Cancer     * Cancer Improvement Plan     * Cancer Operational Committee     * Cancer Recovery and Delivery Group Urgent Care     * Urgent Care Improvement Plan     * Bed Capacity Plan     * Urgent Care Recovery and Delivery Group     * Regional Escalation System	x2 weekly cancer and RTT meeting     Project governance for outpatients and theatres     Weekly urgent care oversight meeting     Clinical Directorate Performance Reviews	Integrated Performance Report to Trust Board Contract Assurance Board Performance Review     FSID report to Board	Gaps in control  Insufficient workforce to meet demand Insufficient investment to match resources to demand Insufficient bed capacity Appropriate Clinical Leadership  Gaps in assurance Data Quality reporting		Officer Imp Deli	ance, Service rovement and ivery nmittee	lpdated
<b>S06</b> S06:6.1	Value for money Failure to achieve financial sustainability		Very High Risk  2017/18 Financial Recovery Plan  3 Year Financial Recovery Plan  Two-year Operational and Financial Plan Performance Accountability Framework	Working Capital Plan     Agreement of long term financial model - Financial Recovery Plan     Lines of financial accountability     Financial reporting to CEC, CMB, FSID and TB     Contract delivery plan     Urgent care delivery plan     Cancer, A&E plans     Efficiency programme     Service Review Programme     Agency reduction plan	Performance Accountability Management Reporting     Financial Performance Report     Financial Recovery Plan     Financial Turnaround Group     Finance Grip and Control	FSID report to Board     Contract Assurance Board     Agency spend performance review by ET     Financial Recovery Plan overview by ET, CEC and CMB     Regular financial input to CMB / CEC     Financial Strategy Group     External Partners      FIMS return to NHSI     CCGs     STP Financial Bridge     PerformanceReview Meeting (NHSI)     System Improvement Board (NHSI)     IDM (NHSI)		Recovery Plan to October Board and NHSI submission 31st	Finance Imp Deli	ance, Service rovement and ivery nmittee	lo change

Impact     Organisational continuity of services     Trust goes into financial special measures with external intervention and regulatory action     Insufficient cash to meet liabilities and impact on operational services     Individual services not sustainable with potential for closing services with detrimental impact on patients     Loss of reputation	I Idiliewoin	Governance in development	
2 Loss of reputation			

Key

## Risk Rating Key / Source - Risk Management Policy

Likelihood								
Almost Certain	Low risk	Moderate risk	Very high risk	Very high risk	<u>Very high risk</u>			
- 5	5	10	15	20	<u>25</u>			
Likely – 4	Low risk	Moderate risk	Moderate risk	<u>Very high risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>			
	4	8	12	<u>16</u>	<u>20</u>			
Possible – 3	Low risk	Low risk	Moderate risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>Very high risk</u>			
	3	6	9	<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>			
Unlikely – 2	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	<u>High risk</u>	<u>High risk</u>			
	2	4	6	<u>8</u>	10			
Rare – 1	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk	Low risk			
	1	2	3	4	5			
	Negligible – 1	Minor – 2	Moderate – 3	Major – 4	Catastrophic - 5			
	Severity							

Lead officers will be asked to verify the status of each risk identified within the Assurance Framework and the following colours will identify whether a risk has been updated.

